( )

08.00.12 –

– 2012

: ,

: ,

,

:

.067.60.01

2012 «___»_____

«____» .

: 100000, , , 60-

.

2012 «___» _____ .

, . .

: «

,

,

»[1].

—

,   ,

—

,   ,

,

,

,   ,

,

•

---
[1]   . .   . –   .:   , 2010.   .18. – 185   .

T.Toдa,

.

_____

2 . ., . . . – : , 1998. – 238 .,
. – .: , – 2001. – 151 ., . . . – .: , 2000. – 234 .,
. . – .: , 2003 – 205 .,
. . . – .: « », 2001. – 448 .,
. . . – : , 2002. – 364 ., Toda T. Improvement of Rapid Transport and Structural
Change of Regional Economy in Japan – based on comparison between central and local areas. «Studies on
Regional Economics Vol.4». Memoirs on Institute of Regional Economics, Faculty of Economics, Hiroshima
University, 1993. – pp. 3-19. . ., . . . –
.: , 2006. – 416 ., . . –
.: , 2001. – 167 ., . ., . ., . .
. – : - . - , 1996. – 65. ., . . :
. – : , , 1991. – 308 .
3 . ., . ., . .
. – .: , 1986. – 141 .; . ., . .,
. ., . . . –
T.: T , 2001. – 112 .; . .
. – .: 1992. – 120 .; . .

// - « ». – , 2009. – 4. . 8;
. . : , , . – .: IQTISOD-MOLIYA, 2005. – 280
.; . ., . . . – .: , 2003. – 135 .; .,
., ., . . – .: , 2006. – 396 .;
. ., . ., . ., . . . – .: ,
2004. – 115 .

2-038-«

-

»

-

•

:

—

;

—

;

—

;

—

;

—

;

—

.

•

.

•

, SWOT- ,

,

•

.

•

:

—

;

—

;

—

;

–

;

.

:

–

;

–

;

–

;

–

;

–

;

–

;

•

.

.

,

-   ,

.

•

(02-15/437,   17.11.2011   .,   ),

-

(04/53-1, 08.02.2010   .,

)   .

«   »,   «

», «   »

(01-395, 24.03.2010   .,   )

.

•

«

» (, 2004), «

6

» (, 2004), «

»

(, 2004), «

» (, 2005), «

:   »

(, 2006), «

» (, 2007),

«

» (, 2008), «

» (, 2008), «   -

» (, 2009), «

» (, 2009), «   -

-

» (, 2010), «

» (,

2011)   -

.

«

»

.

•

16

.

•

.   20   ’   ’ , 19   .   139

.

,   ,

,   ,

,   -

-

.

-

,

.

-

.

-

.

-

.

.

-

.

,

,

,

,

-

.

(1991-1994   )

,

,

,

-

.

(1995-2000   )

,

,   -

,   ,

.

(2001-2007   )

.

,   ,

,

,

8

,

.

(2008   )   -
,   ,

.

_

.

.

:   ,   ,   ,
,   ,   -   ,
.

,

.

.
:

—   ,   ,   ,
(,   ,   ,
);
—   -
;
—
-        ;
—
;
—   (   )
;
—   -
;
—
,   ,
;
—   -

.

,
-

.

,

,

,

,

,

.

;   ,

,   ,

;

,

,   .

,   ;

,

,   ;

,   ,   ;

;

.

,   ,

_

:

.

,

.   ,

.

,

.

.

(1-   ).

,   :

1.   .

(,

,
)   .

( )

( )

1-   **.**

[4]

2.   .

( )

4

,   ,   ,

.

3.   .

,

.

(   )

.

;

;

;

;   ;

;

-                                    .

,   ,   ;   ;

,   ;   -

;

.

.

2010   7,9   (2000

6,4   ),   11,8   (8,5   ),

3   (5,5   ),

8.4   (8,4   ),   6,1

(5,9   ),   4,8   (4,1

)   .

2000-2010   .

2000-2010

.   ,

2000   17,8   , 2010

40,7   .   33,3   18,4   ,

8,1   7   ,

6,9   4,3   29

29,5   .

2000-2010

,   ,   ,

, , ,
. ,
.
, , , , ,
, , ,
, , ,

.
2000-2010

13,2 , ,
2000
0,616   2010   0,325

.

(2-   ).


```
25

20                                          20,6
                                            18,8
                        17,1    17,1         17,3  17,7
              13,1                 16,7  15,9
15            12,7  12,7      13,4  13,4
                                                      13,9
                                   11,8              11,5  11,1  12,0
10      8,5  8,5  8,4
        6,2  7,6
5

0
  2000 . 2001 . 2002 . 2003 . 2004 . 2005 . 2006 . 2007 . 2008 . 2009 . 2010 .
```

□   ▨   **5**

2-   .

.

, ,

.

.

5

-

13

, 2010

, , 75,4 (2000 83,5), , ,
, 17 (2000 11,1) 7
7,6 (2000 5,4) (3-).

2000

; 4,33
; 28,21
; 2,24
; 0,64
; 0,97
1,19
; 0,34
; 2,46
2,13
.; 41,44
; 0,47
; 0,36
; 1,37
; 13,83

2010

; 4,11
; 22,56
3,60
1,49
1,24
1,51
; 0,75
; 4,72
2,78
.; 40,94
; 0,99
; 0,36
; 2,06
; 12,70

3- .

( )[6]

3

, -
, -
. ,

.

------

[6]

-

2010   2000
(2,24   3,6   ),   (2,46   4,72
),   (0,97   1,24   ),   (2,13   2,78
)   .

.

2000-2010   -
.   , 2000,
2005   2008   -10,8; -6,3; -12,3   .
,   2002, 2007   2009   6,1;
17; 49,1   .   .
-
(4-   ).
,   -
.
,   ,   ,   ,
,   ,   ,   .

4-   .   -
(.   )[7]

2000   1999   9,9
,   2010   20,6   .
.

2000-2010

---

[7]

-

15

17,5   42,9   .

60   44,2   ,

22,5   12,9   .

-          ,

(1-   ).

1- [8]

	(%)	(%)	( )			(%)		(%)		
	(%)			'	'	'	'				
2000	5,9	109,9	0,662	0,568	0,818	51,5	48,5	17,5	60,0	22,5
2005	6,6	101,5	0,743	0,800	0,627	58,0	42,0	20,5	61,0	18,5
2006	6,3	112,1	0,682	0,814	0,552	59,9	40,1	19,0	62,6	18,4
2007	5,9	110,2	0,636	0,669	0,605	53,7	46,3	25,7	56,6	17,7
2008	5,9	119,2	0,634	0,735	0,497	57,9	42,1	33,4	50,8	15,8
2009	5,8	115,1	0,621	0,724	0,540	55,9	44,1	41,2	45,0	13,8
2010	6,1	120,6	0,657	0,714	0,583	53,9	46,1	42,9	44,2	12,9

.

,

,   ,

2

.

SWOT-

.

,   ,

,

---

[8]

-

SWOT- [9]

	(S)	(W)
	- ; - ; - - ; - , , ; ; - .	- ; - ; - ; - , , .
	- ; - , « » ; - (,) ;	- - ; - .
	- . - .	- ; - , .
	(O)	(T)
	- ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - .	- ; - ; - ; - . - ; - ; - .
	- ; - ; - .	- ; - .
	- ; - .	- .

SWOT-

1.

2.

3.

4.

;   ,

;

;   ,

;

.

:

1.

:

,
(1991-1994

);

(1995-

2000   );

(2001-2007

);   -

,

(2008   )   .

2.

;

;   ,   -

;   ,   ,

;   -

;

;   ,

.

3.

;   ;

;

;

;

;

.

4.

-                                    ,
                                    .

5.   ,
,   ,
                                                    -                    ,
                                                                            ,
                                                                                    ,
            .

                                                                                    :
—
                                                                                    ,
                            -                        ,   ,   ,   -
            ,   -
                                                                    ;
—
« »

        ;
—
                    (,   ,   )   -
                    ;
—
                                                                            ;
—

                                                                    ;
—
                                    ,   ,   ,

        ,
        .

1.   . .
            //

:

\- . – , 2004. – .
205-206.

2. . .
//
:
\- . – ,
2004. – . 17-18.

3. . . ( )
//
:
\- . – , 2004. – .
121-122.

4. . .
//
:
\- . – , 2005. –
. 187-183.

5. . .
//
:
\-
. – , 2005. – . 31-32.

6. . .
//
: :
\- . – , 2006. – .
281-283.

7. . ., . .
//
: \- .
, – , 2007. – . 26-27.

8. . .
// . – , 2008. – 3. – . 107-108.

9. . .
//
:
\- . – ,
2008. – . 479-480.

10. . .
//

:   -

. –   , 2008. –   . 123-124.

11.   . .   //

. –   , 2009. –   1. – . 65-67.

12.   . .   -

//   -

:   -   ,   ,

. –   , 2009. –   . 51-53.

13.   . .

//   : –   , 2009. –

. 224-225.

14.   . .   //

:   -

. –   , 2009. –   . 49-51.

15.   . .,   . .   -

-

.   //   -

-

:   -

. –   , 2010. –   . 95-99.

16.   . .

-   //

:

-   . –   ,

2011. –   . 441-442.

«

( )»

: , , , ,

, , , ,

, , .

:

.

:

.

: , ,

, SWOT- , ,

.

:

;

;

;

;

.

:

.

, –

,

.

:

,

. « »,

« », « »

.

:

, .

«

(   )»

08.00.12 - «

»

SWOT

RESUME

Thesis of Abdirashidov Ashirali Abdirashidovich on the scientific degree competition of the doctor of philosophy in economics, specialty 08.00.12 – «Regional Economy» subject: «Territorial peculiarities of development of market of consumer goods (by the example of Kashkadarya region)»

Key words: region, territory, territorial peculiarities, consumption, market saturation, market condition, market infrastructure, retail trade, wholesale trade, prognosis, competitiveness.

Subjects of research: Territorial structure of market of consumer goods of Kashkadarya region.

Purpose of work: to make a research of territorial peculiarities and to develop scientific recommendations and practical suggestions on determining of further development directions for market of consumer goods of Kashkadarya region.

Methods of research: scientific abstraction, economic analysis, comparative analysis, SWOT analysis, statistical grouping, scientific generalization, logical sequence methods

The results achieved and their novelty: recommendations and suggestions on improvement of assessment methodology of consumer goods market with a glance at regional peculiarities were worked out; regional aspects of methods and directions of research in the sphere of regional market of consumer goods were defined; ways of optimization of supply and demand conditions as well as the disparity in the market of consumer goods of Kashkadarya region were scientifically substantiated and target indices were worked out; system of factors that make influence to the formation process of regional market of consumer goods were defined, recommendations on prognostication of market development tendencies scientifically substantiated; specific suggestions on perfection of the organizational and economic directions for further development of market of consumer goods of Kashkadarya region were worked out.

Practical value: with the help of suggestions and recommendations worked out as a result of the research market of consumer goods of Kashkadarya region can be developed and these recommendations can be used for further perfection of target programs aimed at rise of living standards of population. Scientifically substantiated suggestions and recommendations worked out as a result of the research can also be used in the course of setting up measures on sustainable development of the regional market of consumer goods as well as in designing of study materials.

Degree of embed and the economic effectivity: the author's recommendations were accepted by the Ministry of Agriculture and water resources of the Republic of Uzbekistan and by the Department of Economy of Kashkadarya region, as well as in teaching the courses like «Regional economics», «National economy of Uzbekistan» and «Macroeconomics» at the institutions of higher education.

Fields of application: regional branches of the Ministry of Economy of the Republic of Uzbekistan, higher educational establishments.

: